

# BELOW THE RED LINE

HEYL...  
ROYSTER

## WORKERS' COMPENSATION UPDATE "WE'VE GOT YOU COVERED!"

*A Newsletter for Employers and Claims Professionals*

*July 2018*

### A WORD FROM THE PRACTICE CHAIR

The last sands in the hourglass that mark the end of Summer 2018 are beginning to drop. Where did the time go? Thinking about back-to-school shopping and getting back into more of a "normal" schedule, are you? Well, enjoy those last Summer moments with family and friends because we will be setting alarm clocks, packing lunches and dropping kids off at school before you know it. I hope you have made some good memories this Summer to carry you through to next year. If you haven't, then don't worry as there is still time. Get out there and have some fun!

We are continuing to grow here at Heyl Royster. This comes in the form of a new hire. I want to introduce everyone to Mr. Andrew (AJ) Sheehan, who is licensed in both Illinois and Missouri. He will be working out of our Peoria and Springfield offices. We are very excited to have AJ come aboard at Heyl Royster.

This month's newsletter article, courtesy of Amber Cameron (Edwardsville) and Jessica Klaus (Springfield), takes a close look at the case of *Peng v. Nardi*. *Peng* touches on two important aspects of the Illinois Workers' Compensation Act that tend to crop up more and more in claims handling. First, *Peng* helps define and explain the difference between a traveling employee and an employee who is commuting, and provides an analysis of when we may have a compensable claim. Second, the case delves into the exclusivity portion of the Act

as it pertains to claims made by employees against co-employees. As our authors explain, *Peng* helps define when employers are protected by section 5's exclusivity provision.

As always, please let us know how we can better serve your workers' compensation needs in Illinois and in Missouri.



Toney J. Tomaso  
Workers' Compensation Practice Chair  
ttomaso@heyloyroyster.com



## HEYL ROYSTER WORKERS' COMPENSATION UPDATE

July 2018

Editors, Brad Elward and Lynsey Welch

### ANDREW (AJ) SHEEHAN JOINS OUR SPRINGFIELD OFFICE



Heyl Royster welcomes Andrew (AJ) Sheehan to our Peoria and Springfield workers' compensation teams. Prior to joining Heyl Royster, AJ gained significant experience representing employers and insurers throughout Illinois and Missouri and appeared before both the Illinois and Missouri Workers' Compensation Commissions defending their interests on appeal. He has also presented on various topics regarding workers' compensation in both states to help his clients better understand and recognize possible workers' compensation issues within their own businesses.

AJ attended the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, where he earned his Bachelor of Science in Political Science in 2009. Following graduation, he worked at the Illinois State Senate in Springfield, Illinois, in the Communications Department. AJ continued his education at the Southern Illinois University School of Law in Carbondale and graduated *cum laude* in 2015. While in law school, he was a member of the Law Journal where his articles earned "Best Casenote" and "Best Comment" honors, ranked Dean's List, and received the Academic Excellence Award.

AJ will be handling workers' compensation cases in Peoria and Springfield and will be assisting with workers' compensation appeals in Missouri.

### CO-WORKER IMMUNITY IN THE CONTEXT OF THE EMPLOYER CONTROLLED COMMUTE

By: Amber Cameron (Edwardsville) and Jessica Klaus (Springfield)

#### Recent Case of Interest

A recent decision from the Appellate Court, First District, *Peng v. Nardi*, 2017 IL App (1st) 170155, ruled that the exclusive remedy provision of the Illinois Workers' Compensation Act (Act) barred lawsuits between co-workers involving accidental injuries arising out of and during the course of the plaintiff's employment. We provide the following discussion of *Peng* as an example of how the Act's exclusive remedy provision can impact your workers' compensation and civil cases.

#### Procedural History

The plaintiff, Xiao Ling Peng, an employee of a buffet restaurant owned by Royal Illinois, Inc., filed suit against her co-employee and others in Cook County Circuit Court after she was injured in a three car collision in Chicago, Illinois. At the time of the accident, the plaintiff was traveling in a vehicle owned by her employer and driven by her co-employee, Lei Guan. The employer permitted (and paid) Guan to drive himself and other employees to and from work in the company's van.

Peng filed an Illinois Workers' Compensation claim related to injuries sustained in the car accident and, at the same time, filed a civil suit against the two other drivers involved in the crash. She later amended her civil pleading to include her employer and the co-employee driver, Guan. Guan and the employer moved for dismissal based on Act's exclusive remedy provisions and the circuit court dismissed the amended complaint. On refiling, Peng only sued the three drivers, which included her

## HEYL ROYSTER WORKERS' COMPENSATION UPDATE

July 2018

Editors, Brad Elward and Lynsey Welch

co-employee Guan. Guan again sought dismissal by pleading co-employee immunity pursuant to the Act's exclusive remedy provision in Section 5(a). After initially denying Guan's motion to dismiss, the circuit court ultimately granted Guan's motion for reconsideration and dismissed him from the suit. The case was brought before the appellate court pursuant to Illinois Supreme Court Rule 304(a).

### Section 5(a)

Section 5(a)'s exclusivity provision expressly bars common law suits against Illinois employers and co-employees, provided the injured employee is entitled to receive workers' compensation benefits from the employer or its insurer. 820 ILCS 305/5(a); *Ramsey v. Morrison*, 175 Ill. 2d 218, 224 (1997). The exceptions to the bar include injuries that (1) did not arise from the employment; (2) were not received during the course of the employment; (3) were not accidental or were intentionally inflicted; or (4) were otherwise not compensable under the Act. *Fredericks v. Liberty Mutual Insurance Co.*, 255 Ill. App. 3d 1029, 1031 (5th Dist. 1994).

Peng, in relying on exceptions noted above to sustain her civil claim against co-employee Guan, denied the Act's applicability to her and argued that her injury arose during her commute, and therefore, was not sustained during the course of her employment. Specifically, Peng argued that her claim was unrelated to her employment because (1) she was not being compensated for her time when she was being transported to the restaurant; (2) she was not on her job site at the time she sustained the injury; and (3) she could have chosen other means of commuting and was not required by her employer to use the van carpool. She further argued that she may proceed in circuit court under the election of remedies theory allowing her to file both a civil action and a compensation claim while she was uncertain about the proper forum. *Rhodes v. Industrial Comm'n*, 92 Ill. 2d 467, 470 (1982).

The court gave little credence to Peng's arguments and considered them irrelevant to the true issue, *i.e.*, whether or not Peng was legally entitled to recover under the Act. Though the court recognized the general rule barring commuting employees from recovery under the traveling employee standard, it found that Peng's case was an exception to the rule. The exception, as outlined by the Illinois Supreme Court in *Hindle v. Dillbeck*, holds that injuries arising during a commute in an *employer controlled vehicle* are considered to have occurred in the course of the employee's employment. *Hindle v. Dillbeck*, 68 Ill. 2d 309, 320 (1977); *Sjostrom v. Sproule*, 49 Ill. App. 2d 451, 460 (1st Dist. 1964) ("employer-provided conveyance is a 'well recognized exception to the rule that travel to and from work is ordinarily not within the [Act]'"); *Peng*, 2017 IL App (1st) 170155, ¶ 13. The *Peng* court held that even though Peng was not compensated for her commute time and was not required to use the van to get to work, when Peng "relinquished control over the conditions of transportation [and] climbed into a vehicle owned by her employer and driven by her co-employee under the employer's direction," she came within the purview of the Act and her employer exposed itself to liability for its employees' injuries during the commute. *Id.* ¶ 25.

The *Peng* court further rejected Peng's argument that she may proceed in both forums to alleviate any concerns regarding the proper venue for recovery. It held that there was no uncertainty about the law as it applied to the plaintiff Peng and that it was clear that her right to compensation was through the Act based on the excess of cases finding that employees commuting in employer-controlled vehicles are entitled to compensation benefits.

### Practical Considerations

There are two important take-aways from the appellate court's decision in *Peng*. First, when considering claims made by commuting employees,

## HEYL ROYSTER WORKERS' COMPENSATION UPDATE

*July 2018*

*Editors, Brad Elward and Lynsey Welch*

an important part of the initial investigation is to request information regarding the vehicle being driven and its owner. As indicated above, this information will often distinguish between a compensable and non-compensable claim. While employer provided transportation can be beneficial to both the employer and the employee, when an employer provides either transportation or a company vehicle, the company may be exposing itself to liability for any injury suffered by an employee during his or her commute.

Second, when assessing new claims by employees against their employers or co-employees in the circuit court, the analysis should always include the applicability of the exclusive remedy provision and co-employee immunity from negligence claims even if there is some uncertainty regarding the compensability of the underlying compensation claim. At the very least, the issue should be brought to the attention of the circuit court and a stay on the proceedings should be requested until the Illinois Workers' Compensation Commission can determine whether or not recovery is appropriate under the Act.

Please feel free to contact any of our workers' compensation lawyers should you have any questions on this case or any other workers' compensation issues.



### **Amber Cameron – Edwardsville Office**

Amber's workers' compensation defense practice entails representing employers of all sizes at dockets in southern Illinois and eastern Missouri. Prior to joining Heyl Royster in 2015, Amber was a staff attorney at the Illinois Workers' Compensation Commission where she assisted Commissioners deciding workers' compensation claims. At the Commission, she drafted hundreds of opinions on review and on remand, gaining advanced knowledge in workers' compensation law.



### **Jessica Klaus – Springfield Office**

Jessica Klaus focuses her practice on defending civil rights claims filed by inmates within the Illinois Department of Corrections, and the clinical psychology facilitators at the State of Illinois sex offender treatment facility, in both civil rights and state law claims. Her practice also includes nursing home defense, general casualty defense, tort litigation defense, and workers' compensation defense.

# HEYL... ROYSTER

## Contact Attorney:

Brad A. Antonacci  
[bantonacci@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:bantonacci@heyloyroyster.com)  
Kevin J. Luther  
[kluther@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:kluther@heyloyroyster.com)  
312.971.9807

Chicago Zone

## Dockets Covered:

Elgin • Geneva • Wheaton

## Contact Attorney:

Kevin J. Luther  
[kluther@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:kluther@heyloyroyster.com)  
815.963.4454

Zone 6

## Dockets Covered:

Rockford • Waukegan • Woodstock

## Contact Attorneys:

Kevin J. Luther  
[kluther@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:kluther@heyloyroyster.com)  
Lynsey A. Welch  
[lwelch@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:lwelch@heyloyroyster.com)  
815.963.4454

Zone 5

## Dockets Covered:

Kankakee • New Lenox • Ottawa

## Contact Attorney:

Kevin J. Luther  
[kluther@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:kluther@heyloyroyster.com)  
815.963.4454

Zone 4

## Dockets Covered:

Bloomington • Rock Island • Peoria

## Contact Attorney:

Craig S. Young  
[cyoung@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:cyoung@heyloyroyster.com)  
309.676.0400

Zone 3

## Dockets Covered:

Quincy • Springfield • Urbana

## Contact Attorney:

Bruce L. Bonds  
[bbonds@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:bbonds@heyloyroyster.com)  
217.344.0060

Zone 2

## Dockets Covered:


Collinsville • Herrin • Mt. Vernon

## Contact Attorneys:

Toney J. Tomaso  
[ttomaso@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:ttomaso@heyloyroyster.com)  
618.656.4646

Zone 1

## REGIONAL ZONE MAPS



## Workers' Compensation Practice Chair

### Contact Attorney:

Toney Tomaso - [ttomaso@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:ttomaso@heyloyroyster.com)  
217-344-0060

## Workers' Compensation Appellate

Brad A. Elward - [belward@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:belward@heyloyroyster.com)  
Patricia L. Hall - [phall@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:phall@heyloyroyster.com)  
309-676-0400

## State of Wisconsin

### Contact Attorney:

Kevin J. Luther - [kluther@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:kluther@heyloyroyster.com)  
815-963-4454

## Jones Act Claims

### Contact Attorney:

Ann Barron - [abarron@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:abarron@heyloyroyster.com)  
618-656-4646

## OFFICE LOCATIONS

### Champaign

301 N. Neil St.  
Suite 505  
PO Box 1190  
Champaign, IL  
61803  
217.344.0060

### Chicago

33 N. Dearborn St.  
Seventh Floor  
Chicago, IL  
60602  
312.853.8700

### Edwardsville

105 W. Vandalia St.  
Mark Twain Plaza III  
Suite 100  
PO Box 467  
Edwardsville, IL  
62025  
618.656.4646

### Peoria

300 Hamilton Blvd.  
PO Box 6199  
Peoria, IL  
61601  
309.676.0400

### Rockford

120 W. State St.  
Second Floor  
PO Box 1288  
Rockford, IL  
61105  
815.963.4454

### Springfield

3731 Wabash Ave.  
PO Box 9678  
Springfield, IL  
62791  
217.522.8822

### St. Louis

701 Market St.  
Peabody Plaza  
PO Box 775430  
St. Louis, MO  
63177  
314.241.2018

[WWW.HEYLROYSTER.COM](http://WWW.HEYLROYSTER.COM)



# WORKERS' COMPENSATION PRACTICE GROUP



## Practice Group Chair

Toney Tomaso

[ttomaso@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:ttomaso@heyloyroyster.com)

## Champaign Office



### Contact Attorney:

**Bruce Bonds**

[bbonds@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:bbonds@heyloyroyster.com)



John Flodstrom

[jflodstrom@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:jflodstrom@heyloyroyster.com)



Joseph Guyette

[jguyette@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:jguyette@heyloyroyster.com)



Bradford Peterson

[bpeterson@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:bpeterson@heyloyroyster.com)



Toney Tomaso

[ttomaso@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:ttomaso@heyloyroyster.com)

## Peoria Office



### Contact Attorney:

**Craig Young**

[cyoung@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:cyoung@heyloyroyster.com)



Bradford Ingram

[bingram@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:bingram@heyloyroyster.com)



Dana Hughes

[dhughes@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:dhughes@heyloyroyster.com)



James Manning

[jmanning@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:jmanning@heyloyroyster.com)



Jessica Bell

[jbell@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:jbell@heyloyroyster.com)



Brad Elward

[belward@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:belward@heyloyroyster.com)

## Chicago Office



### Contact Attorney:

**Brad Antonacci**

[bantonacci@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:bantonacci@heyloyroyster.com)



Kevin Luther

[kluther@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:kluther@heyloyroyster.com)



Lynsey Welch

[lwelch@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:lwelch@heyloyroyster.com)



Fallon Sommerfeld

[fsommerfeld@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:fsommerfeld@heyloyroyster.com)

## Rockford Office



### Contact Attorney:

**Kevin Luther**

[kluther@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:kluther@heyloyroyster.com)



Lynsey Welch

[lwelch@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:lwelch@heyloyroyster.com)



Patricia Hall

[phall@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:phall@heyloyroyster.com)



Jordan Emmert

[jemmert@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:jemmert@heyloyroyster.com)



Scott Hall

[shall@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:shall@heyloyroyster.com)

## St. Louis Office



### Contact Attorney:

**Bob Bassett**

[rbassett@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:rbassett@heyloyroyster.com)



Toney Tomaso

[ttomaso@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:ttomaso@heyloyroyster.com)



Amber Cameron

[acameron@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:acameron@heyloyroyster.com)

## Edwardsville Office



### Contact Attorney:

**Toney Tomaso**

[ttomaso@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:ttomaso@heyloyroyster.com)



John Flodstrom

[jflodstrom@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:jflodstrom@heyloyroyster.com)



Amber Cameron

[acameron@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:acameron@heyloyroyster.com)



Dirk Hennessey

[dhennessey@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:dhennessey@heyloyroyster.com)

## Springfield Office



### Contact Attorney:

**Dan Simmons**

[dsimmons@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:dsimmons@heyloyroyster.com)



John Langfelder

[jlangfelder@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:jlangfelder@heyloyroyster.com)



Jessica Bell

[jbell@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:jbell@heyloyroyster.com)



Brett Siegel

[bsiegel@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:bsiegel@heyloyroyster.com)



AJ Sheehan

[ajsheehan@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:ajsheehan@heyloyroyster.com)

Below is a sampling of our practice groups highlighting a partner who practices in that area – For more information, please visit our website  
[www.heyloyster.com](http://www.heyloyster.com)



**Appellate Advocacy**

Craig Unrath  
[cunrath@heyloyster.com](mailto:cunrath@heyloyster.com)



**Business and Commercial Litigation**

John Heil  
[jheil@heyloyster.com](mailto:jheil@heyloyster.com)



**Business Organizations & Transactions**

Ken Davies  
[kdavies@heyloyster.com](mailto:kdavies@heyloyster.com)



**Casualty/Tort Litigation**

Nick Bertschy  
[nbertschy@heyloyster.com](mailto:nbertschy@heyloyster.com)



**Civil Rights Litigation/Section 1983**

Keith Fruehling  
[kfruehling@heyloyster.com](mailto:kfruehling@heyloyster.com)



**Construction**

Mark McClenathan  
[mmcclenathan@heyloyster.com](mailto:mmcclenathan@heyloyster.com)



**Employment & Labor**

Brad Ingram  
[bingram@heyloyster.com](mailto:bingram@heyloyster.com)



**Governmental**

Andy Keyt  
[akeyt@heyloyster.com](mailto:akeyt@heyloyster.com)



**Healthcare**

Roger Clayton  
[rclayton@heyloyster.com](mailto:rclayton@heyloyster.com)



**Insurance Services**

Patrick Cloud  
[pcloud@heyloyster.com](mailto:pcloud@heyloyster.com)



**Long Term Care/Nursing Homes**

Tyler Robinson  
[trobenson@heyloyster.com](mailto:trobenson@heyloyster.com)



**Product Liability**

Rex Linder  
[rlinder@heyloyster.com](mailto:rlinder@heyloyster.com)



**Professional Liability**

Renee Monfort  
[rmonfort@heyloyster.com](mailto:rmonfort@heyloyster.com)



**Railroad Litigation**

Steve Heine  
[sheine@heyloyster.com](mailto:sheine@heyloyster.com)



**Toxic Torts & Asbestos**

Lisa LaConte  
[llaconte@heyloyster.com](mailto:llaconte@heyloyster.com)



**Trucking/Motor Carrier Litigation**

Matt Hefflefinger  
[mhefflefinger@heyloyster.com](mailto:mhefflefinger@heyloyster.com)



**Workers' Compensation**

Toney Tomaso  
[ttomaso@heyloyster.com](mailto:ttomaso@heyloyster.com)



Scan this QR Code  
for more information about  
our practice groups and attorneys

**Champaign**

301 N. Neil St.  
Suite 505  
PO Box 1190  
Champaign, IL  
61803  
217.344.0060

**Chicago**

33 N. Dearborn St.  
Seventh Floor  
Chicago, IL  
60602  
312.853.8700

**Edwardsville**

105 W. Vandalia St.  
Mark Twain Plaza III  
Suite 100  
PO Box 467  
Edwardsville, IL  
62025  
618.656.4646

**Peoria**

300 Hamilton Blvd.  
PO Box 6199  
Peoria, IL  
61601  
309.676.0400

**Rockford**

120 W. State St.  
Second Floor  
PO Box 1288  
Rockford, IL  
61105  
815.963.4454

**Springfield**

3731 Wabash Ave.  
PO Box 9678  
Springfield, IL  
62791  
217.522.8822

**St. Louis**

701 Market St.  
Peabody Plaza  
PO Box 775430  
St. Louis, MO  
63177  
314.241.2018